

The da vinci code and the MAHDI

Post Reply Post Reply Page

2

<12

Author Message Topic Rating Rate Topic Topic Search Topic Search Topic Options
Topic Options

Nazarene View Drop Down

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Male

Joined: 05 September 2008

Location: United States

Status: Offline

Points: 298 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Nazarene Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This
Post Posted: 13 September 2008 at 7:04pm

Originally posted by Sawtul Khilafah Sawtul Khilafah wrote:

Originally posted by Nazarene Nazarene wrote:

the section with the underlined sentence states also that (THE SON OF MAN
(JESUS) is the one who begets. read it closer.

it dates from 125-150. by the followers of Valentinus. Irenaeus wrote of it as
heresy around 180. he's the one who coined the term Catholic Orthodox (universal
straight thinking). so you know where he stood on things. although he liked to use the
gospel of Barnabas to support his views till he didn't need that book any more.

sounds like Ian Willson to me. you must not look to so called Christian views on
these books. the Christian church would fall if the truth were known.

Islam calls on people to think. so think on this.

if you were leading a group who were hated and persecuted would you not keep your family a secret?? don't you think they would be the next targets?? as now lets say it was all true. is'nt it safe to say that there are those who would hunt them down even today??

well by the way the n/t states that the disciples all had children (acts 21:5 and phillip had four daughters (who prophesied 21: 8-9.) and paul says all the apostles had children.and don't forget peter, and his son mark.(these will be the 144,000). but thats another post.

jesus taught though example. they followed his lead. read it all for yourself. it also speaks of the failings of the emerging catholic church. and how they twisted who jesus was.

and also as you can see there are other writings that support the idea of jesus being wed to mary. not just phillip. and there's more.much more.

<http://gospelofthomas.nazirene.org/philip.htm>

It says:

and the son of the Son of Man is he who creates through the Son of Man.

In other words, one who creates through the "son of man" (Jesus) is the son of the son of man (son of Jesus).

This is clearly metaphorical, it is saying that if you want to be the son of Jesus you must do so and so, not that Jesus had any actual children.

It is like when the Bible refers to the Jews as the children of God and Israel as the bride of God.

The gnostic gospels in particular are very much metaphorical, more so than the books in the Bible. They talk about women (Mary magdalene in particular) becoming men, obviously not literally. If these early Gnostic sects believed that Jesus had children why is his child never explicitly mentioned in any of their own texts? I mean if even they did not mention his child or children, then what evidence remains?

No doubt they claimed that Jesus and Mary Magdalene were very close, but that does

not mean they had children, not to mention descendants, even if we were to believe the Gnostic gospels.

your quite right. nothing is written stateing the fact of jesus' offspring only of his disciples. but i belive it to be so.

and one who creates can not beget. but the one who begets can also create.

i belive islam is a great religion and it's customs and creed are conditioning it's followers for the task that is yet to come. islam will once more be called upon to protect and stand with the chosen that christ spoke of(in the gosple of barnabass(link at bottom) jesus says that his friend muhammad will gather them.) so be carefull what satan says , his favored deception is the truth. he knows we'll always discard what ever he says. so if he speaks the truth he knows we'll reject it as lies.

you seem to be a kind and just soul, thank you. pass it along to others when ever you can. only when followers of god unite will the great evil that is yet to come be defeated .

<http://www.barnabas.net/home.html> chapter # 55 barnabas we know for sure predates 180 . read the how it survied.

by name muhammad is mentioned! some 500 or so years before he was born!!!

love and peace

Ieland

Edited by Nazarene - 13 September 2008 at 8:19pm

love for all conquers all

Back to Top

Sponsored Links

Back to Top

Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 14 September 2008 at 1:58pm

Originally posted by Nazarene Nazarene wrote:

your quite right. nothing is written stateing the fact of jesus' offspring only of his disciples. but i belive it to be so.

and one who creates can not beget. but the one who begets can also create.

i belive islam is a great religion and it's customs and creed are conditioning it's followers for the task that is yet to come. islam will once more be called upon to protect and stand with the chosen that christ spoke of(in the gosple of barnabass(link at bottom) jesus says that his friend muhammad will gather them.) so be carefull what satan says , his favored deception is the truth. he knows we'll always discard what ever he says. so if he speaks the truth he knows we'll reject it as lies.

you seem to be a kind and just soul, thank you. pass it along to others when ever you can. only when followers of god unite will the great evil that is yet to come be defeated .

<http://www.barnabas.net/home.html> chapter # 55 barnabas we know for sure predates 180 . read the how it survied.

by name muhammad is mentioned! some 500 or so years before he was born!!!

love and peace

Ieland

I have read the Gospel of Barnabas, I actually have a copy of it. But I didnt know that it was older than 1585, so thanks for the link

Originally posted by Nazarene Nazarene wrote:

i belive islam is a great religion and it's customs and creed are conditioning it's followers for the task that is yet to come. islam will once more be called upon to protect and stand with the chosen that christ spoke of(in the gosple of barnabass

So you're not Muslim but believe Islam is a divine religion?

If you believe Islam is from God and that it came after Christianity, then why would you not be Muslim?

Edited by Sawtul Khilafah - 14 September 2008 at 2:04pm

[Back to Top](#)

[Nazarene View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Male

Joined: 05 September 2008

Location: United States

Status: Offline

Points: 298 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote Nazarene](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[ReplyDirect](#) [Link To This Post](#)
Posted: 14 September 2008 at 5:26pm

well i'm considering it. i find islam to be the most senseable of all established religions. but i fear i won't fit in islam.

although i feel as you do that we should live your lives in submission to god i feel it's not right to submit yourself "as a slave" unto him.

i fell that a persons submission should be as a freeman.

a slave only dose what he is told to do by his master and dose not exspect to inherit the things of his master.

a servent is called such because he expsects to be paid,or rewardrd for his service.

a freeman or woman serves out of love to the master not expsecting any reward or asking for anything other than what master wishes to give out of his love for them.

i serve god out of my love for him. i ask only for his mercey and forgiveness upon a poor siner such as i. anything more is of his will alone and i never presume to interpet that.

i'm reading some of the Quran online but i would rather have book in hand(i've heard that A. Yusef Ali's is the best translation)i plan to order it off amazon a.s.p.. it's the only way i belive to absorb the true meaning. ink and paper in hand ,touchable.

i'm thankful that a kind person led me to this site though a p.m.

in another forum.

we'er not so diferent,actually i,m begining to feel from what i read here that islam is closer to the first follows of jesus then roman church.

i even told my wife if we ever went to the holy land i'd feel safer if we'd stayed in Jorden than israel. funny huh. even though we folow jesus we'er not of the "roman" church. my wife calls them "christains" and shes right. thats the name given to the gentile pagens who stoled his name. we simply say we'er nazarene.

well i'm starting run on i'll include my e-mail in my profile.

thank you for such a stimulating talk hope we do more of it my brother and i mean that from my heart.

love and peace forever

Ieland

love for all conquers all

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623

Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 14 September 2008 at 7:20pm

Originally posted by Nazarene Nazarene wrote:

well i'm considering it. i find islam to be the most senseable of all established religions. but i fear i won't fit in islam.

although i feel as you do that we should live your lives in submition to god i feel it's not right to submit yourself "as a slave" unto him.

i fell that a persons submition should be as a freeman.

a slave only dose what he is told to do by his master and dose not exspect to inherit the things of his master.

a servent is called such because he expsects to be paid,or rewardrd for his service.

a freeman or woman serves out of love to the master not expsecting any reward or asking for anything other than what master wishes to give out of his love for them.

i serve god out of my love for him. i ask only for his mercey and forgiveness upon a poor siner such as i. anything more is of his will alone and i never presume to interpet that.

i'm reading some of the Quran online but i would rather have book in hand(i've heard that A. Yusef Ali's is the best translation)i plan to order it off amazon a.s.p.. it's the only way i belive to absorb the true meaning. ink and paper in hand ,touchable.

i'm thankful that a kind person led me to this site though a p.m.

in another forum.

we'er not so diferent,actualy i,m begining to feel from what i read here that islam is closer to the first follows of jesus then roman church.

i even told my wife if we ever went to the holy land i'd feel safer if we'd stayed in Jorden than israel. funny huh. even though we folow jesus we'er not of the "roman" church. my wife calls them "christains" and shes right. thats the name given to the gentile pagens who stoled his name. we simply say we'er nazarene.

well i'm starting run on i'll include my e-mail in my profile.

thank you for such a stimulating talk hope we do more of it my brother and i mean that from my heart.

love and peace forever

Ieland

We have already been given the free will to obey or disobey God when we came to this world, so when we obey Him and recognise that we are His slaves we are obeying Him willingly even though we are free not to, as He does not force us to obey Him.

By the way I wouldn't recommend Yusef Ali's translation, as although it is easy to read it is not accurate and has too many words in the translation that are not in the Arabic original.

I really don't know of any perfect translations right now (except literal translations but they are very difficult to understand) but Shakir's translation is for example better than Yusuf Ali's (although it's not great it is still better).

Originally posted by Nazarene Nazarene wrote:

we simply say we're nazarene.

Thou wilt find the most vehement of mankind in hostility to those who believe (to be) the Jews and the idolaters. And thou wilt find the nearest of them in affection to those who believe to be those who say: We are Nazarenes. That is because there are among them priests and monks, and because they are not proud.

(Qur'an Surah al-Maedah verse 82)

The Qur'an uses the word "Nazarene" for Christians, however notice that the verse is not referring to all Christians, but those Christians who actually call themselves Nazarenes.

As you can see, Jews are simply referred to as Jews (Yahood), rather than "those who say we are Jews/Yahood".

So clearly the verse is only referring to those Christians who call themselves Nazarenes, as you just did.

[Back to Top](#)

[minuteman View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Joined: 25 March 2007

Status: Offline

Points: 1642 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

[Thanks \(0\)](#) [Thanks\(0\)](#) [Quote minuteman](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[ReplyDirect](#) [Link To This Post](#)
Posted: 14 September 2008 at 9:44pm

Moreover, It is known that there was group of people called Nazarene in the time of Jesus and some say that Jesus himself belonged to that group. They used to wear white clothes. The Joseph of Armethia who took the body of Jesus after it came down from cross, he belonged to the Nazarene.

I ffel that I read all that some where (perhaps in the gospel of Barnabas, but I cannot remember where I read it). It was definitely a book. Perhaps the title of the book was "Ben Hur".

That Joseph was a Nazarene and he had an underground tomb made out of rock for his own burial. He was a very rich and influential man. He approached Pilate and asked for the body of Jesus.

Pilate was surprised and said , "Has he died so quickly?"